

three-dimensional quantum problems

The one-dimensional problems we've been examining can carry us a long way — some of these are directly applicable to many nanoelectronics problems — but there are some important problems that are inherently three-dimensional. We need to know how to handle those. Fortunately, the approach is not significantly different from the 1-D approach. Not surprisingly, the math can become more involved.

The extension to 3 dimensions requires a modification of the kinetic energy term, since momentum is, in general, a vector.

$$\hat{p}_x \rightarrow -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \qquad \hat{\vec{p}} \rightarrow -i\hbar \vec{\nabla}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \vec{a}_x + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \vec{a}_y + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \vec{a}_z$$

With that change, the time-independent Schrödinger equation in 3-D is

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi(x, y, z) + U(x, y, z) \psi(x, y, z) = E \psi(x, y, z)$$

$$\nabla^2 \psi(x, y, z) = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2}$$

(For time-independent potentials, the time dependence can be separated out in the 3-D case just as it was in the 1-D case, and the time-dependence will be of the form $\exp(-i\omega t)$.)

In 3-D, the free-electron S.E. is

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi(x, y, z) = E \psi(x, y, z)$$

The simplest solution is still a plane wave, but the form is slightly more complex:

$$\psi(\vec{r}) = A \exp(i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r})$$
$$\vec{k} = k_x \vec{a}_x + k_y \vec{a}_y + k_z \vec{a}_z \quad \text{wave vector}$$
$$\vec{r} = x \vec{a}_x + y \vec{a}_y + z \vec{a}_z \quad \text{position vector}$$

The above solution represents a plane wave traveling in the direction of the wave vector.

The energy still has a familiar form

$$E = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} \quad \text{where} \quad k^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2$$

Exercise: Check that the wave function above is a solution to the 3-D free-electron S.E. with the energy as given. Also, show that the 3-D result reduces to the 1-D if $k_y = k_z = 0$.

As we increase dimensions, there will be a corresponding increase in the “quantum numbers” for a given problem.

For instance, for a 1-D plane wave or a 1-D quantum well, there was only one parameter to characterize a state: k in the case in the wave or n for the well. A 3-D plane wave requires three wave-numbers, k_x , k_y , and k_z . Even though we have yet to see it, you might guess that a 3-D quantum well will need three quantum numbers. (n_x , n_y , n_z ?) The hydrogen atom will also need three parameters (n , l , m_l). There is a direct correspondence between the number of dimensions and the number of quantum indices required.

Solving the 3-D Schroedinger equation looks daunting, but often can be approached using the old separation of variables trick.

If the potential function depends on only one dimension, or can be written as a sum of 3 one-dimensional potentials, then separation of variables will work.

$$U(x, y, z) = U(x) + U(y) + U(z)$$

In that case, you would start by writing the full wave-function as the product of three wave-functions, each of which depends on only one variable.

$$\psi(x, y, z) = \psi_x(x) \psi_y(y) \psi_z(z)$$

Inserting into the 3-D Schroedinger equation:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 [\psi_x(x) \psi_y(y) \psi_z(z)] \\ + [U_x(x) + U_y(y) + U_z(z)] [\psi_x(x) \psi_y(y) \psi_z(z)] \\ = E [\psi_x(x) \psi_y(y) \psi_z(z)] \end{aligned}$$

Nasty.

Work through the derivatives, and then divide everything by

$$\psi_x(x) \psi_y(y) \psi_z(z)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{1}{\psi_x(x)} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_x(x)}{\partial x^2} + U_x(x) \\ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{1}{\psi_y(y)} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_y(y)}{\partial y^2} + U_y(y) \\ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{1}{\psi_z(z)} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_z(z)}{\partial z^2} + U_z(z) = E \end{aligned}$$

The long equation divides into three pieces. Each piece is a function of only one variable. The 3 pieces together sum to a constant.

$$f(x) + f(y) + f(z) = E$$

The only way that this can be true for all values of x, y, z is if each piece is individually equal to a constant.

$$f(x) = E_x \quad f(y) = E_y \quad f(z) = E_z \quad E = E_x + E_y + E_z$$

OK, now we're getting somewhere. Through separation of variables, we turned one 3-D problem into three 1-D problems. And we know a little bit about solving some 1-D problems.

$$\text{in } x: \quad -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_x(x)}{\partial x^2} + U_x(x) \psi_x(x) = E_x \psi_x(x)$$

and similar for the other dimensions.

Example: quantum dot

As an example, consider the situation of an electron confined in all three dimensions by infinitely high barriers. The barriers are located at planes defined by $x = 0$, $x = L_x$, $y = 0$, $y = L_y$, $z = 0$, and $z = L_z$. The potential can be viewed as three 1-D barrier problems – one for each dimension – added together.

As we've seen, the analysis is made much easier by the fact that we can break this into three identical and well-known 1-D problems.

Using the previously obtained results for the 1-D infinitely deep well.

$$\psi_x(x) = A_x \sin(k_x x) \quad \psi_y(y) = A_y \sin(k_y y) \quad \psi_z(z) = A_z \sin(k_z z)$$

$$k_x = \frac{n_x \pi}{L_x}$$

$$k_y = \frac{n_y \pi}{L_y}$$

$$k_z = \frac{n_z \pi}{L_z}$$

$$E_x = \frac{n_x^2 \pi^2 \hbar^2}{2mL_x^2}$$

$$E_y = \frac{n_y^2 \pi^2 \hbar^2}{2mL_y^2}$$

$$E_z = \frac{n_z^2 \pi^2 \hbar^2}{2mL_z^2}$$

Inserting the pieces to form the complete solution:

$$\psi(x, y, z) = A \sin(k_x x) \sin(k_y y) \sin(k_z z)$$

$$E = \frac{n_x^2 \pi^2 \hbar^2}{2mL_x^2} + \frac{n_y^2 \pi^2 \hbar^2}{2mL_y^2} + \frac{n_z^2 \pi^2 \hbar^2}{2mL_z^2}$$

Each state is characterized by the three quantum numbers, n_x, n_y, n_z .

We can denote the different states $(n_x n_y n_z)$

Note that in some cases, different states will have the same energy. This is known as degeneracy. For example, if the quantum box is a cube ($L_x = L_y = L_z$), then states with quantum numbers $(2\ 1\ 1)$, $(1\ 2\ 1)$, and $(1\ 1\ 2)$ will all have the same energy and so are *degenerate*. There are many other degenerate combinations.